



REPORTER

U.S. SUPREME COURT ASKED TO CONSIDER SAF RIGHT TO CARRY CASE

The Second Amendment Foundation has asked the U.S. Supreme Court to hear the appeal in the challenge to New Jersey's unconstitutional carry laws. The case has changed names a few times over the years and is now known as *Drake v. Jerejian*.

SAF is joined in the lawsuit by the Association of New Jersey Rifle & Pistol Clubs, Inc. in addition to a number of private citizens whose applications for permits to carry were denied generally on the grounds that they have not shown a "justifiable need." When SAF originally filed this case, the plaintiffs included a kidnap victim, a part-time sheriff's deputy, a third person who carries large amounts of cash in his private business and a civilian employee of the FBI in New Jersey who was reasonably fearful of attack from radical Islamic fundamentalist groups. All of these people were denied their right to carry a firearm in New Jersey because they did not have a "justifiable need."

As the case has progressed, New Jersey has fought hard to frustrate the efforts of these law-abiding citizens seeking to exercise their core Second Amendment right to carry a firearm for self-defense. While SAF understands that everyone deserves to be able to exercise their right to armed self-defense in public, it is telling that the State of New Jersey vehemently opposes this right even for this group which includes law enforcement officers and ATM servicers who are at particularized risk of attack.

"Law-abiding New Jersey citizens have been arbitrarily deprived of their ability to defend themselves and their families for years under the state's horribly-crafted laws," said SAF Executive Vice President Alan M. Gottlieb when the case was originally filed. "The law grants uncontrolled discretion to police chiefs and other public officials to deny license applications even in cases where the applicant has shown a clear and present danger exists.

"If being a kidnap victim, or part-time law enforcement officer, or the potential target of a known radical group does not clearly demonstrate a justifiable need," he continued, "the defendants need to explain what would. Do citizens need guns to their heads or knives to their throats before the state considers their need to be justified?"

Prepared by attorneys Alan Gura (who won Second Amendment victories in the groundbreaking *Heller* and *McDonald* cases) and David Jensen, SAF's petition to the Supreme Court is the latest effort to bring a right-to-carry case before the high court and is the next step in the process of resolving the differing opinions of lower courts on the right to bear arms for personal protection outside the home.

The lower court rulings in this matter have shown a real contempt for the rule of law and have left SAF no choice but to ask the United States Supreme Court to overturn those decisions and dispose of this unconstitutional denial of carry rights.

At the trial court level, Federal Judge William H. Walls, a Clinton appointee, dismissed the case and denied the right to carry a firearm for self-defense outside the home, writing "the protection of citizens from potentially lethal force is compelling."

"The judge has it backwards," said ANJRPC President Scott Bach. "If he really cared about protecting citizens from lethal force, he wouldn't be interfering with their constitutional right to defend themselves against violent criminals. Ironically, the U.S. Supreme Court has repeatedly held that the police owe no duty to protect individual citizens, so you're on your own when you step outside your home. This decision wrongly demonizes those who want to take responsibility for their own safety and turns all but a privileged few into helpless victims."

Particularly disturbing to Gottlieb was Judge Walls' comment, "The Supreme Court has found limitations on the scope of a constitutional right outside the home in the First Amendment context, recognizing a right to privately possess obscene materials in the home but allowing the states broad power to regulate obscenity outside the home."

"He appears to suggest the right to keep and bear arms is an obscenity," Gottlieb said. "I wonder how that view might square with Thomas Jefferson or James Madison."

The United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit was also surprisingly dismissive of plaintiff's Second Amendment rights and the Second Amendment's core

DRAKE CERTIORARI PETITION TO US SUPREME COURT

Continued from page 1

guarantee of “the individual right to possess and carry weapons in case of confrontation,” as was recognized in attorney Alan Gura’s 2008 victory in *District of Columbia v. Heller*. The Third Circuit tried to reason that because the functional ban on carrying firearms had been in place for a long time, it would be presumed constitutional.

Since the *Heller* decision the various United States Courts of Appeal have interpreted the Second Amendment in varying ways, with most at least professing some fidelity to the interpretation of the right in *Heller*. Only the Third Circuit, in this matter, has actually held that the

Second Amendment does not secure, or even touch, the right to carry a handgun, “the quintessential self-defense weapon.” This interpretation is in clear conflict with the decisions of other circuits and, more importantly, imperils the Second Amendment rights of all citizens.

“The right to self-defense is sacrosanct,” said SAF’s Alan Gottlieb, “yet has been disparaged and denied to all but an elite few in states like New Jersey. Individuals and families should not be deprived of the right to defend themselves and we intend to change that.”

“This case could resolve the right to carry issue not only for New Jersey,

but for the entire nation,” added Scott Bach. “So far the U.S. Supreme Court has declined to hear the issue in other cases, but this case may be different due to the extreme nature of New Jersey’s law, which effectively denies law-abiding citizens their fundamental right to self-defense outside the home.”

“The petition is an exceptional piece of legal work that is well worth taking the time to read,” Bach, an attorney, noted. “It extensively documents the differing of opinions of lower courts throughout the nation that need to be reconciled, and observes: ‘The notion that carrying handguns outside the home is ‘conduct falling outside the scope of the Second Amendment’s guarantee’ simply cannot be squared with *Heller*... [H]istory, consensus, and simple common sense do not remotely support New Jersey’s law, a relatively modern and intensely controversial regulation that exists in only a small handful of states’.”

“It is time for the high court to clarify that the right to bear arms does not stop at someone’s front door,” Gottlieb observed. “What other constitutional right is confined to one’s house? The Second Amendment was never meant to be encumbered with such a limitation, and it cannot possibly be interpreted that way, but it will take a Supreme Court ruling to convince lower courts and anti-gunners, and put this debate to rest.”

It is anticipated that in the coming weeks a number of States which recognize their citizens’ inalienable right to armed self-defense, as well as a many pro-freedom members of Congress, will join SAF and file briefs asking the United States Supreme Court to hear this landmark case. SAF’s petition to the Supreme Court is available on the SAF website at: http://saf.org/legal.action/drake_cert_petition_filed.pdf

SHOOTINGUSA: A NEW SAF SPONSOR

The Second Amendment Foundation has announced that ShootingUSA will support the Second Amendment Foundation as a Platinum sponsor in 2014.

“I am pleased to welcome ShootingUSA’s sponsorship of the Second Amendment Foundation” said its founder and Executive Vice President Alan M. Gottlieb. “Jim Scoutten, the host and producer, is well-known as a longtime supporter of Second Amendment rights both personally and through the ShootingUSA show on the Outdoor Channel. We are

grateful for the generous support of ShootingUSA and welcome their partnership in our efforts.

ShootingUSA’s support is also invaluable in spreading the word about the important work that SAF accomplishes. ShootingUSA’s reach through the Outdoor Channel and through its online videos and publications will help educate, involve and motivate new members to join and support SAF in its fight against the perils of unconstitutional gun bans and ill-conceived gun regulations throughout this country.



Second Amendment
REPORTER

is published by the
Second Amendment Foundation
12500 N.E. Tenth Place
Bellevue, Washington 98005
425-454-7012
www.saf.org

Publisher
Editor
Managing Editors

Alan Gottlieb
Joseph Tartaro
J.H. Versnel
Miko Tempksi
Dave Workman

Address all editorial matters and letters and other inquiries to the address on the left. For changes of name or address, please send old and new address to the Second Amendment Foundation.

© SAF 2012

GUNS SAVE LIVES DAY A RESOUNDING SUCCESS

“Guns Save Lives Day,” observed on December 15th in conjunction with Bill of Rights Day, was a huge success.

SAF Executive Vice President Alan M. Gottlieb said that more than 700,000 people celebrated “Guns Save Lives Day” by attending gun shows, visiting gun clubs, gun shops and shooting ranges, more than 46,000 people voted in the opinion poll supporting the right of law-abiding citizens to protect themselves from violent crime, and more than 90,000 people signed an on-line Gun Rights petition.

“We want to thank all of the sponsoring organizations that supported this event,” Gottlieb said. “They are too numerous to mention all of them, but in particular the effort was supported by the Calguns Foundation, Texas State Rifle Association, Illinois State Rifle Association, Virginia Citizens Defense League, Illinois Carry, Long Island Firearms, Florida Carry, Alabama’s Bama Carry, Georgia Carry, Montana Shooting Sports Association, Nebraska Firearms Owners Association, Louisiana Shooting Association, New Jersey Second Amendment Society, the Shooters Committee on Political Education of New York, Arizona Citizens Defense League and many others.”

Leading up to the event, Gottlieb participated in 36 radio and television interviews and discussions, reaching millions of viewers and listeners with the “Guns Save Lives” message.

“From all indications,” he said, “there was overwhelming support from firearms owners across the landscape. While anti-gunners shamelessly exploited Saturday’s somber anniversary of the Newtown tragedy, Sunday’s activities and weekend attendance at gun shows demonstrated that America is a resilient nation, and that its people understand the difference between extremist, agenda-driven ideology and

common sense.

“Guns Save Lives Day,” he concluded, “underscored the positive impacts of widespread firearms ownership, and the importance of protecting and preserving the Second Amendment right to keep and bear arms.”

The effort was bolstered by a SAF’s “Guns Save Lives” advertisements on cable television or radio advertising campaign which were likely seen or heard by 20 million people.

SAF founder and Executive Vice President Alan Gottlieb previously announced the campaign, noting that the organization has bought “hundreds of thousands of dollars of air time” on Fox News, DISH TV, and Glenn Beck’s Blaze Network. He has also purchased air time on national conservative radio talk shows, SiriusXM satellite radio and major radio stations.

“Our message points out that guns do save lives,” Gottlieb said, “and that it is not in the interest of public safety to create so-called ‘gun-free zones’ where people are defenseless against violent criminal attack.”

Viewers were directed to a toll-free telephone number to call to voice support for their Second Amendment right to keep and bear arms. People also visited the GunsSaveLivesDay.com website to sign a petition in support of gun rights and the fact that guns do save lives.

“While others will exploit national tragedies to push an agenda of victim disarmament,” Gottlieb observed, “those of us involved in ‘Guns Save Lives Day’ have a different message that the public has a right to hear. The proper use of firearms in emergency situations can make a difference to the safety of would-be victims, whether they are night-shift grocery clerks, single moms, senior citizens or small business owners.”

He was especially critical of Organizing for Action, the group created

from the Barack Obama campaign organization, and Moms Demand Action, for exploiting the Sandy Hook tragedy, while trying to silence firearms rights groups.

“There have been meetings in the White House to coordinate how gun prohibitionists can use the Newtown anniversary to push their political, anti-rights agenda,” Gottlieb said. “While they have criticized the ‘Guns Save Lives’ effort, these organizations have hypocritically worked with the Obama administration to dance across the graves of the innocent in a campaign to destroy the Second Amendment.”

Americans protect themselves from violent crime with firearms up to two million times annually, by some estimates. Nearly half of those incidents occur away from home, demonstrating the effectiveness of concealed- and open-carry laws. In the majority of those cases, a shot is not even fired, but the presence of the firearm serves as a deterrent to violence.

“Nobody should have the right to prohibit another person from exercising his or her right of self-defense,” Gottlieb said. “This notion that ‘gun free zone’ decals or signs will prevent a violent crime is at best delusional. Criminals and crazy people pay no heed to such restrictions on law-abiding people, and in fact they take advantage of such situations.

“Our television effort will provide an opportunity to millions of Americans to express their support for a fundamental civil right,” Gottlieb said. “At the same time, we will send a message to the gun prohibition lobby that Americans will not sacrifice their right to self-defense on the altar of political correctness.”

Gottlieb and the Second Amendment Foundation look forward to future successful iterations of Guns Save Lives Day to remind us about the importance and value of our right to armed self-defense.

SAF'S CHALLENGE TO CALIFORNIA HANDGUN ROSTER FIASCO PROCEEDS

One of the Second Amendment Foundation's cases in California, *Pena v. Lindley*, a lawsuit challenging the state handgun roster requirements that include microstamping and magazine disconnects continues to grow in scope and importance and a decision from the U.S. District Court likely approaches.

SAF has received support from Glock in this matter, through the filing of an amicus brief. Glock's brief noted that its pistols are like the majority of semi-auto pistols manufactured today, and do not include the nonsensical features mandated by California. Glock pistols, nor any other handgun in common use, can comply with California's "microstamping" mandate, the brief noted.

In December SAF's attorneys and the California Attorney General's Office each presented oral arguments to the Judge hearing this case. After this, the Judge asked the parties to provide additional information regarding the scope of the impact of the California roster regulations. Second, and perhaps more importantly, additional major firearms manufacturer jumped in to support SAF in this case.

In late January, Alan Gottlieb, SAF's Executive Vice President appeared on the nationally-syndicated "Gun Talk" with Tom Gresham to discuss the controversy surrounding California's roster and microstamping law and SAF's challenge to it. Gottlieb discussed the fact that the law at issue in this case has major gun companies essentially dropping off of the state's approved handgun roster.

Also on the show was Ruger CEO Mike Fifer, discussed the fact that they were being pushed out the California civilian handgun market by the State's roster law.

The controversy resurfaced after Ruger announced that, "We are working hard to serve our customers in California and will do all we can to fight this draconian

law. We continue to submit pistols to the independent test lab for testing and those pistols meet all the requirements of California in effect when the pistols first appeared on the Roster, i.e., everything except microstamping. We have been informed by the lab that the CA DOJ will not even consider these "new" pistols for inclusion on the Roster unless they satisfy the microstamping regulations, which numerous studies have found unworkable. Until microstamping is repealed, we expect that Ruger pistols – some of the safest available – will continue to be forced off the Roster."

That was followed by Smith & Wesson's declaration that, "Smith & Wesson does not and will not include microstamping in its firearms. A number of studies have indicated that microstamping is unreliable, serves no safety purpose, is cost prohibitive and, most importantly, is not proven to aid in preventing or solving crimes. The microstamping mandate and the company's unwillingness to adopt this so-called technology will result in a diminishing number of Smith & Wesson semi-automatic pistols available for purchase by California residents."

These statements were also made before the Court hearing the *Pena* case. SAF's attorney in this matter, Alan Gura, filed supplemental declarations from the CEOs of Ruger and Smith & Wesson explaining that, with some very limited exceptions, the companies will no longer sell semi-automatic handguns in California because of the roster law.

SAF's Gottlieb noted, "Under the First Amendment, California is not allowed to compile a list of books you can read, and under the Second Amendment the state should not be allowed to compile a list of handguns you can own."

SAF AMENDS ITS CHALLENGE TO THE NEW YORK SAFE ACT

An amended complaint has been filed by the Second Amendment Foundation in its challenge of a section of New York's

LEGAL BRIEFS

"SAFE Act" relating to an arbitrary limit on the number of cartridges that may be loaded into a magazine, arguing that such a limit violates the Second Amendment.

SAF is joined by Long Island Firearms, LLC, the Shooters Committee on Political Education (SCOPE) of New York and seven individual plaintiffs. Defendants are Gov. Andrew Cuomo, and Joseph D'Amico, superintendent of the State Police.

The lawsuit, filed in U.S. District Court for the Northern District of New York, contends that the new law limits an individual citizen's self-defense ability, especially if that person is physically disabled, by prohibiting more than seven rounds in a magazine, even if the magazine can hold eight, nine or ten cartridges.

"We have received numerous inquiries and concerns from members and supporters living in New York state about this new statute," noted SAF's Alan M. Gottlieb. "Aside from the obvious Second Amendment problems this cartridge limit presents, making it a crime to load more than seven cartridges into a ten-round magazine, for example, is confusing to the point that it is almost entrapment.

"Gottlieb said the complaint was amended to allow additional plaintiffs to join the action because people have been harmed in different ways by this Draconian new law.

The lawsuit notes that magazines holding ten or more rounds of ammunition are in common usage by law-abiding citizens for all kinds of purposes, including self-defense. It contends that there is not sufficient government interest to justify restricting gun owners from loading more than seven rounds in their otherwise lawful eight-, nine- or ten-round magazines.

"This law sets an arbitrary limit on the number of rounds a person may load into an otherwise legal magazine," Gottlieb observed. "There is no rational explanation for this, other than to deliberately confuse the public."

SEATTLE PAYS SAF \$38,000 IN LAWSUIT SETTLEMENT

The Second Amendment Foundation has accepted a \$38,000 settlement from the City of Seattle for the city's failure to release public records about the city's poorly throughout and executed gun buyback in January 2013.

As part of the agreement, the city has acknowledged that it did not promptly or properly provide all of the documents sought by SAF under the Public Records Act. SAF was represented by Bellevue attorney Miko Tempski.

"It is a shame that this had to drag out so long," said SAF's Alan Gottlieb, "but the important thing is that the city has been held accountable for sloppy handling of our request. One would have thought the city had learned something earlier this year when the police department had to pay the Seattle Times \$20,000, for also not providing requested documents.

SAF had pursued e-mails and other documents related to the January buyback, which was conducted in a parking lot underneath I-5 in downtown Seattle. The operation was something of an embarrassment that even Washington Ceasefire President Ralph Fascitelli had advised against, the recovered e-mails revealed.

CALIFORNIA AG FAILS TO DERAIL SAF'S CHALLENGE TO 10-DAY WAITING PERIOD

In December a federal judge sided with SAF, Calguns Foundation and three individual plaintiffs and denied a motion by CA Attorney General Kamala Harris to dismiss a challenge to California's ten-day waiting period in the case of *Silvester v. Harris*.

(Continues on page 6)

LEGAL BRIEFS

(Continues from pages 4-5)

Senior Judge Anthony Ishii of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of California noted in his 11-page order that, "Although Harris argues that the WPL (Waiting Period Law) is a minor burden on the Second Amendment, Plaintiffs are correct that this is a tacit acknowledgment that a protected Second Amendment right is burdened. Therefore, the Court concludes that the WPL burdens the Second Amendment right to keep and bear arms."

"Judge Ishii's comparison of the waiting period to a prior restraint is significant," SAF's Alan Gottlieb observed. "He further stated that Harris, in her motion to dismiss the case, had not shown that the waiting period law is effective in reducing gun-related violent crime, or in keeping guns out of the wrong hands where the government has already issued that purchaser a License To Carry or a Certificate Of Eligibility."

Judge Ishii also noted, "...there is a period of at least 10 days in which California prohibits every person from exercising the right to keep and bear a firearm. There can be no question that actual possession of a firearm is a necessary prerequisite to exercising the right to keep and bear arms. Further, there has been no showing that the Second Amendment, as historically understood, did not apply for a period of time between the purchase/attempted purchase of a firearm and possession of the firearm..."

"Judge Ishii has wisely reminded us, as did the late Dr. Martin Luther King, that a right delayed is a right denied," Gottlieb concluded.

SAF COURT WINS PAVED WAY FOR NEW CHICAGO RULING

A January ruling from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois clearly stated that a ban on gun sales in Chicago is

unconstitutional. The ruling heavily relied on three significant Second Amendment Foundation court victories, and SAF founder Alan M. Gottlieb is delighted that SAF's legal work "paved the way."

"While this was not our case," Gottlieb said, "The ruling by District Court Judge Edmond E. Chang would not have been possible had not SAF legal actions broken important new ground over the past 3 plus years since our Supreme Court victory in McDonald v. City of Chicago. We are very pleased at the outcome, and that Judge Chang cited McDonald, and also our Ezell v. City of Chicago and Moore v. Madigan victories in reaching his decision."

The lawsuit that resulted in the ruling was brought by the Illinois Association of Firearms Retailers and three private citizens, Kenneth Pacholski, Kathryn Tyler and Michael Hall.

In his decision, Judge Chang noted that "certain fundamental rights are protected by the Constitution, put outside government's reach, including the right to keep and bear arms for self-defense under the Second Amendment. This right must also include the right to acquire a firearm..."

He also observed that, "Chicago's ordinance goes too far in outright banning legal buyers and legal dealers from engaging in lawful acquisitions and lawful sales of firearms..."

"Once again," Gottlieb said, "it has taken a federal court ruling to convince Chicago that it is not a city-state, but part of a nation that is bound by the Constitution and must honor all of its provisions, including the right to keep and bear arms."

"It is gratifying," he added, "that Judge Chang, building on precedents established by previous SAF cases, has reinforced that notion. That SAF victories essentially blazed a new legal trail is rewarding, and it encourages us to continue our effort to win back firearms freedom one lawsuit at a time."

BLOOMBERG'S MAIG MERGING WITH MOMS DEMAND ACTION TO MASK

The merger between Mayors Against Illegal Guns (MAIG) and Moms Demand Action for Gun Sense in America will help the mayors' group mask the bad deeds of some of its members.

"Michael Bloomberg is essentially getting Momsto clean his organization's dirty laundry and present a more wholesome image to the American people, whom they hope to lull into surrendering their firearms rights," said SAF founder and Executive Vice President Alan Gottlieb.

MAIG was founded in 2006 by former New York Mayor Michael Bloomberg and former Boston Mayor Thomas Menino.

"The Mayors' group has had at least 16 members who were convicted

of, or pleaded guilty to, assorted crimes that include embezzlement, perjury, bribery, extortion, fraud, money laundering, attempted child molestation and child pornography," Gottlieb said. "Another eight are facing various criminal charges including DUI, assaulting a police officer, accepting bribes, wire fraud and money money laundering.

"And this is a group that mothers wants to merge with?" he questioned. "Clearly, some moms are willing to sacrifice good sense in the pursuit of an extremist anti-firearms civil rights agenda. It is far easier to hide bad intentions behind a mother's skirt than it is to be responsible about the company you keep."

Bloomberg has promised to

"devote extensive resources" to the gun prohibition effort. MAIG claims about 1,000 members, out of approximately 30,000 cities and towns, the Moms Demand Action group claims "more than 130,000 members." The combined total membership in gun rights organizations is "somewhere north of 6.5 million," Gottlieb estimated for comparison.

"One relatively small fledgling group is combining with an even smaller but very well financed group in an effort to dictate how 250 million Americans should live," Gottlieb observed. "Before the mayors and the moms tell anyone else how to keep their house in order, they need to clean up their own."

HOMICIDES DOWN AS NUMBER OF ARMED CITIZENS RISE

As 2013 drew to a close, gun advocates around the country noted that the City of Chicago posted the fewest homicides since 1965. The Second Amendment Foundation asserts that the most plausible explanation for at least part of the decline is because criminals can no longer be certain that their intended victim is not legally armed.

Since SAF's landmark Supreme Court victory in *McDonald v. City of Chicago* nullified the 30-year-old handgun ban in the city, increasing numbers of law-abiding citizens have obtained firearms for personal protection, noted SAF Executive Vice President Alan Gottlieb.

"For three decades," he said, "street thugs essentially enjoyed a risk-free environment That changed, thanks to a series of court victories stemming

from SAF's win in the McDonald case. "Surveys have repeatedly revealed that predatory criminals fear armed citizens," he added. "Just the thought that an intended victim might be armed, and willing to fight back, tends to discourage some of these outlaws."

ABC News reported that the city saw a 16-percent reduction in crime overall last year. There were 415 homicides, which was 88 fewer slayings than the city logged in 2012.

Gottlieb observed, "It cannot be discounted that with the restoration of gun rights, there is a deterrent factor that did not exist before June 2010.

"One thing about criminal predators is that they don't want to risk getting shot by an intended victim," he concluded. "Now, with legal concealed carry coming to Illinois thanks to SAF's victory in *Moore v. Madigan*, this

sends an even stronger message to criminals."

This recent down turn in Chicago murders is yet another statistic reinforcing the long-known truth: Guns Save Lives! Educating the public about the positive results that can be achieved by increased firearms freedom is part of SAF's mission.

The Associated Press also recently reported that in the few weeks since they became available, thousands of concealed carry permit applications have been filed in Cook County and throughout Illinois. The concealed carry law comes into effect thanks to a Second Amendment Foundation court victory that forced lawmakers to pass a law last year. As concealed carry becomes a reality in Illinois, SAF looks forward to reporting on even better numbers in the future.

Meet the Mayors

Michael Bloomberg and his Mayors Against Illegal Guns

So who are the real criminals?

America's 80 million law-abiding gun owners or former Mayor Bloomberg's gang of gun-grabbing politicians?

Many of these elitist politicians can no longer own firearms, maybe that's why they don't want you to own one either?

Help the Second Amendment Foundation (www.saf.org) protect your freedom by exposing the corrupt politicians who have no respect for our right to keep and bear arms.



The Godfather and his fellow Gun Grabbing Gang

Here are just a few current or former Mayors from Bloomberg's Mayors Against Illegal Guns

Sheila Dixon – Baltimore, MD Convicted of perjury and embezzling funds meant for charity	Gary Becker – Racine, WI Convicted of attempted child molestation and luring a child for illicit purposes	Larry Langford – Birmingham, AL Convicted on 60 counts of bribery, fraud, money laundering, tax evasion
Eddie Perez – Hartford, CT Convicted of bribery and extortion	David Donna – Guttenberg, NJ Convicted of extortion and tax fraud	Frank Melton – Jackson, MS Convicted of violating his own city gun possession ordinance
Buddy Cianci – Providence, RI Convicted of assault and racketeering	Samuel Rivera – Passaic, NJ Convicted of extortion and accepting bribes	Jeremiah Healy – Jersey City, NJ Convicted of disorderly conduct and resisting arrest
Will Wynn – Austin, TX Convicted of assault	Kwame Kilpatrick – Detroit, MI Convicted of assault on a police officer and perjury	Richard Corkery – Coaldale, PA Convicted of child pornography and bail violations
Adam Bradley – White Plains, NY Convicted of domestic violence charges	Gordon Jenkins – Monticello, NY Pled guilty on five counts of trademark counterfeiting	Roosevelt Dorn – Inglewood, CA Pled guilty to public corruption and embezzlement charges
Pat M. Ahumada Jr. – Brownsville, TX Arrested three times for driving while intoxicated	April Almond – East Haven, CT Arrested and charged for interfering with a police officer	Tony Mack – Trenton, NJ Recently charged for accepting \$119,000 in bribes

Gunowners Against Illegal Mayors, a project of the Second Amendment Foundation.

Help us expose these corrupt officials who want to take our firearms away!