

# United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

---

**No. 00-7188**

**September Term, 2000**

**99cv03181**

**Filed On: January 19, 2001** [569736]

Second Amendment Foundation, et al.,  
Appellants

v.

United States Conference of Mayors, et al.,  
Appellees

**BEFORE:** Sentelle, Henderson, and Rogers, Circuit Judges

## **ORDER**

Upon consideration of the motion for summary affirmance, the opposition thereto, and the reply, it is

**ORDERED** that the motion be denied. The merits of the parties' positions are not so clear as to warrant summary action. See Taxpayers Watchdog, Inc. v. Stanley, 819 F.2d 294, 297 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (per curiam); Walker v. Washington, 627 F.2d 541, 545 (D.C. Cir.) (per curiam).

Because the court has determined that summary disposition is not in order, the Clerk is instructed to calendar this case for presentation to a merits panel.

**Per Curiam**